This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Claims 1-45 (deleted)

1	Claim 46 (currently amended): A method for filtering a
2	plurality of candidate search results to remove
3	near-duplicates, the method comprising:
4	a) for each of a plurality of one of the plurality of
5	candidate search results, determining whether the one
6	candidate search result is a near-duplicate of another
7	of the plurality of candidate search results result by
8	1) comparing a cluster identifier of the one
9	candidate search result with that a cluster
10	identifier of the other candidate search result,
11	and
12	2) if the cluster identifiers of the one and the
13	other two candidate search results match, then
14	concluding that the one two candidate search is a
15	near-duplicate of the other candidate search
16	result results are near duplicates; and
17	b) if it is determined in response to a determination
18	that the <u>one</u> candidate search result is a
19	near-duplicate of the other candidate search result,
20	then rejecting the one candidate search result thereby
21	defining a filtered set of search results including
22	only those of the plurality of candidate search
23	results that have not been rejected.

- Claim 47 (currently amended): A search filter for 1 processing a plurality of search results to remove 2 near-duplicates, the search filter comprising: 3 a near-duplicate determination facility for 4 determining, for each of a plurality of one of the 5 plurality of candidate search results, whether the one 6 candidate search result is a near-duplicate of another 7 of the plurality of candidate search results result, 8 and wherein the near-duplicate determination facility 9 includes a comparison facility for comparing a cluster 10 identifier of the one candidate search result with a 11 cluster identifier that of another the other candidate 12 search result, and wherein if the cluster identifiers 13 of the one two candidate search results result and the 14 other candidate search result match, then it is 15 concluded that the one two candidate search results 16 result and the other candidate search result are 17 near-duplicates; and 18 a filter for rejecting the one candidate search 19 20 result if it is determined that the one candidate search result is a near-duplicate of the other 21 candidate search result and passing the one candidate 22 search result if it is not determined that the one 23 candidate search result is a near-duplicate of the 24 other candidate search result, thereby defining a 25 filtered set of search results including only those of 26 the plurality of candidate results that have not been 27 28 rejected by the filter.
- 1 Claim 48 (previously presented): A machine-readable medium
- 2 having stored thereon a plurality of records, each of the
- 3 records comprising:

4	 a) a first field for storing a document identifier;
5	and
6	b) a plurality of lists, each of the plurality of
7	lists containing elements of a document identified by
8	the document identifier stored in the first field,
9	wherein a hash function is used to hash each of
10	the elements in order to determine which one of the
11	plurality of lists that each of the elements will be
12	contained in.
1	Claim 49 (currently amended): A method for determining
2	whether two documents are near-duplicates, the method
3	comprising:
4	a) for each of the two documents, generating at least
5	two <u>different</u> fingerprints; and
6	b) determining whether or not the two documents are
7	near-duplicate documents by
8	1) determining whether or not any one of the at
9	least two fingerprints of a first of the two
10	documents matches any one of the at least two
11	fingerprints of a second of the two documents,
12	and
13	2) if it is determined that any one fingerprint
14	of the at least two fingerprints of the first of
15	the two documents does match any one fingerprint
16	of the at least two fingerprints of the second of
17	the two documents, then concluding that the two
18	documents are near-duplicates; and
19	c) using the determination of whether or not the
20	two documents are near-duplicates in at least one
21	of (A) an act of serving search results
22	corresponding to documents, (B) an act of

23	crawling documents, (C) an act of indexing
24	documents, and (D) an act of fixing a broken link
25	to at least one of the two documents.
1	Claim 50 (previously presented): A machine-readable medium
2	having stored thereon a plurality of records, each of the
3	records comprising:
4	 a) a first field for storing a document identifier;
5	and
6	b) a plurality of lists, each of the plurality of
7	lists containing elements of a document identified by
8	the document identifier stored in the first field,
9	wherein at least some of the plurality of lists
10	include different numbers of elements.
·1	Claim 51 (currently amended) The machine-readable medium
2	of claim $51 ext{ } 50$ wherein at least one of the plurality of
3	lists include no elements.
1	Claim 52 (currently amended): A machine-readable medium
2	having stored thereon a plurality of records, each of the
3	records comprising:
4	 a) a first field for storing a document identifier;
5	and
6	b) a plurality of lists, each of the plurality of
7	lists containing elements of a document identified by
8	the document identifier stored in the first field,
9	wherein at least some contiguous elements in a
10	document are not necessarily contiguous elements of a list.

- 1 Claim 53 (previously presented): A machine-readable medium
- 2 having stored thereon a plurality of records, each of the
- 3 records comprising:
- 4 a) a first field for storing a document identifier;
- 5 and
- 6 b) a plurality of lists, each of the plurality of
- 7 lists containing elements of a document identified by
- 8 the document identifier stored in the first field,
- 9 wherein for each of the records, the number of
- 10 lists is the same.
- 1 Claim 54 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 53 wherein a number of the plurality of
- 3 lists is independent of document size.
- 1 Claim 55 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 48 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is an element that has been extracted from the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 56 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 48 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is a predetermined one of (A) a predetermined
- 4 number of words, (B) a predetermined number of sentences,
- 5 (C) a predetermined number of characters, (D) a
- 6 predetermined number of paragraphs, and (E) a predetermined
- 7 number of sections.
- 1 Claim 57 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 48 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document partially overlaps another of the elements of the
- 4 document.

- 1 Claim 58 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 50 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is an element that has been extracted from the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 59 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 50 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is a predetermined one of (A) a predetermined
- 4 number of words, (B) a predetermined number of sentences,
- 5 (C) a predetermined number of characters, (D) a
- 6 predetermined number of paragraphs, and (E) a predetermined
- 7 number of sections.
- 1 Claim 60 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 50 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document partially overlaps another of the elements of the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 61 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 52 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is an element that has been extracted from the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 62 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 52 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is a predetermined one of (A) a predetermined
- 4 number of words, (B) a predetermined number of sentences,
- 5 (C) a predetermined number of characters, (D) a
- 6 predetermined number of paragraphs, and (E) a predetermined
- 7 number of sections.

- 1 Claim 63 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 52 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document partially overlaps another of the elements of the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 64 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 53 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is an element that has been extracted from the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 65 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 53 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document is a predetermined one of (A) a predetermined
- 4 number of words, (B) a predetermined number of sentences,
- 5 (C) a predetermined number of characters, (D) a
- 6 predetermined number of paragraphs, and (E) a predetermined
- 7 number of sections.
- 1 Claim 66 (previously presented): The machine-readable
- 2 medium of claim 53 wherein each of the elements of a
- 3 document partially overlaps another of the elements of the
- 4 document.
- 1 Claim 67 (previously presented): A machine-readable medium
- 2 having stored thereon a plurality of records, each of the
- 3 records comprising:
- 4 a) a first field for storing a document identifier;
- 5 and
- 6 b) a plurality of lists, each of the plurality of
- 7 lists containing elements of a document identified by
- 8 the document identifier stored in the first field,
- 9 wherein each of the elements are contained in one of

- 10 the plurality of lists in accordance with a result of
- 11 hashing the element using a hash function.